

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

NV

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/733,182	12/10/2003	Eric J. Zbinden	42P16455	8237	
8791	8791 7590 12/09/2004			EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2839		
			DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/733,182	ZBINDEN, ERIC J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chandrika Prasad	2839				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statous of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statous of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of third period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status .						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10 December 2003.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a	, — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/10/03. 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/733,182 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1 and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities: A comma (,) is missing after "base" in line 2. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.
- 4. Each U-clip has a base and two arms. How a plurality of clips can be positioned in the base? Such a feature is neither shown nor described.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-8, 10-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hanzawa et al. (5231685).

Hanzawa (Figures 1- 29) shows an apparatus comprising a generally U-shaped clip 3 having a base, a first arm, a second arm to form a channel to receive a cylindrical sleeve 9, 10 and a plurality of optical components (fibers) 21 positioned off center from the axis of the sleeve. One arm is rigid and the other arm is flexible to squeeze the sleeve. The sleeve can be rotated and slid to alter x, y and z coordinates of the optical component. A lens formed at the end of the optical element is inherent. The arms have notches 18 which can be used solder, epoxy or weld the sleeve in place.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanzawa et al. (5231685).

Hanzawa shows all the features of this claim except the optical component being a laser diode. Laser diode are widely used and well known in the art of optical fibers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use a laser diode as the optical component because it would provide an easily available and widely used source of power in fiber optics.

Art Unit: 2839

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Briggs et al. (5386487), Miyokawa et al. (6720582) and Carney at al. (5100221).

Contact Information

10. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (571) 272-2099.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasad Primary examiner

December 7, 2004